Previous references. 1892-3
~~191~~.
Separate Revenue

SR File No. 9 of 1892-
Serial Nos. 1 to 2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 9

Major ~~Part~~ B. for March 1899

SUBJECT.

Pay bill of three Toddy Inspectors entertained in excess of the proposed Scale in the Tumkur District

---

81. From the Excise Commr in Mysore
No 6343/357 dy 3rd June 1893.
Submits information as to the circumstances under which Toddy Inspectors were appointed for Maddageri, Tiptur and Chikkanayakanahally Taluks by the late Mr Syed Nasiruddin Sahib.

82. To the Excise Commr and Comptroller: No 1087-8 dy 6-1
Govt states that it is pleased to sanction the bill for Rs 471-7-0 with the remarks that occasions of this kind should be carefully avoided
It is strange that the point was not noticed in the Controller and Excise Commr Offices

G. R. S. 58

GPB—1,000—1-7-11

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT.

Three Toddy Inspectors who had been entertained by mistake in excess of the proposed scale in the Tumkur Distt.

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 6343/357 dated 3rd June/93 from the Excise Comr.

Vide no 5625/353 Dy 24th April 93. Pakirthe

The Excise Comr reported in april last that Mr Haji Syed Nasimudin Sahab who was in charge of the Tumkur Distt in augt 92 entertained by mistake two Inspectors for each of the Taluks of Madhugiri, Chickmanglur
- Hassan

and Gilbert in addition to an Inspector who had been entertained for each of the Sub Talukas belonging to those three Talukas, and that the mistake was found out by Mr Venkat - Vandrangar Avl, on the receipt of Govt Proc^s No 13672-81 Dy 16th July 93 17-92 when the three Inspectors in lieu of the Sanctioned Scale were dispensed with, and submitted for sanction, a Statement showing the pay disbursed to them during the period they were employed.

No 1956/E.F.17-93 Dy 15th May/93.

Paper No 39.

2. The Excise Commr was requested to report (1) on what dates & under whose orders were the Toddy Inspectors for the Sub Talukas employed, and (2) what were the circumstances under which the Commr fixed Nasserundin Saib appointed two Inspectors instead of one in addition to the Sub Taluk Inspectors already appointed, to the 3 Taluks above referred to.

3. The Excise Commr now submits further information

Vide No 6343 Dy 3rd/357 June/93.

ies to the circumstances under which additional Toddy Inspectors were appointed for Kadaiyam, Tiruttani & Chickmagalur-halli Taluks, and solicits sanction of the bill submitted with his former letter.

4. The circumstances are reported to have been as follows —

A Toddy Inspector for the Korlegere Sub Taluk was appointed under orders of

vide G.O. No. 6343/351 Dy 3rd June/

Mr Venkatavaradaraja
by the Dy Amildar
in the early part of
Augt 192, and after the
~~defects~~ Dy Comrs departure
on leave, the Inspectors
of the Huliyar & Turu
-vekere Sub Taluks were
appointed on the 9th &
20th Augt 192 respectively
under the orders of the
Hd Dy Comr Mr Narasi
-ah, who also appointed
additional Inspectors for
each of the 3 Taluks
referred to above in the
latter part of Augt 192

The Deputy Comr states that the late Dr syed Nasiruddeen Sahb appointed 2nd Inspectors for each of the above Taluks on the strength of the remark made in the statement which accompanied the Excise Comrs docket No 570-7/10 Dy 19th July 92 to the effect that third Inspectors will be needed for those Taluks, and on perusal of docket No 549/10 Dy 18th July 92 to the effect that 2nd Inspectors for the said Taluks were

6 The Excise Comr. —
Explains as follows: —

The Statement referred
to by the Dy Comr. is a
Copy of the Statement
C Submitted to Govt. with
No 536/21 Dy 15th July 92, from
which it will be seen
that it is mentioned in
the Column of "remarks"
that a 3rd Inspector will
be needed for certain
Taluks, but that remark
was made only for
future consideration, and
the figures in the Statement

x paper 19

clearly show that no
provision was made in
it for such 3rd Inspection.
The appointment of third
Inspection, was this
unauthorized and were
evidently made under
a mistaken idea that
it would be sanctioned
by Govt.

1. The Excise Comr.
submits also a copy of
his no 570-I/10 Dy 19th July/92
to the Dy Comr
intimating his proposals
to Govt on the subject, as
required by this Office in
no 19156/17-93 Dy 15th Mar/93

b his address.

Submitted.

23 N
16/6 26/6/23

Submitted -

The Excise Comr: states that the 3d: Inspectors in question were entertained under a mistaken idea that the appointments would be sanctioned by Govt. His conclusion is based upon the Dy Comrs letter No: 1769 of 8th May 23 (Copy sent us). There the Dy Comr says that ~~this~~ a 3d: Inspector in the talukes of —

(1) Chickmaikanhalli
(2) Tiptur
(3) Maddagiri

appears to have been appointed by the late HS Raja Narasimha on the strength of the suggestion that a third Inspector would be needed in the

(1) Sira
(2) Kunigal
(3) Tiptur talukes

made in the Excise Comr's statement. (Please see paper marked 23/a).

In this connection it may be mentioned that the Inspectors were

were appointed for the Chick-
naikanhalli & Maddagiri
Taluks instead of Soca &
Kunigal following the
statement.

But the Dy Comrs letter
of the subsequent date — viz
31st May 93, No: 1906 (Copy
sent up) explains the matter
more fully. In para 6 he
says, plainly that 2d. inspec-
tors for the 5 Taluks of

1 Soca
2 Kunigal
3 Maddagiri
4 Chicknaikanhalli
5 Tipture

were appointed by Mr Pearce-
-u-Din on the strength of
para 2 of Excise Comrs
Docket No: 549 Dated 18th
July 1892. (The Excise Comr
has not sent a Copy of this
Docket). Again in para
7 the Dy Comr explains that
he understands from the further
Correspondence on the matter
that the Excise Comr meant
to provide 2d inspectors
for only 2 Taluks —

1 Soca
2 Kunigal

and intended the 2d. Insps:
of the remaining 3 Taluks

of 1 Tipture
2 Chicknaikanhalli
3 Maddagiri

for the Sub-Taluks attached
thereto. The Excise Comr
does

not allude to this Correspondence
Clear instructions do not appear to
have been given by the Excise Com-
missioners in Statement C (Paper
No. 19/d) The proposed scale
is entered in plain figures
that Mr. Nairne entertained the 3d Inspectors
in anticipation of sanction
is open to doubt & incon-
sistent as already pointed
out. X

The Bill submitted by the
Excise Com^rs remains to
be sanctioned.

Submitted for orders
26/6/93 J.S.R. Duncan

The Bill may
be sanctioned with
the remark that occa-
sions of this kind should
be carefully avoided.
It is strange that the
point was not noticed
in the Consultations
Excise Proceedings 27/7

Paper No. 35/6

Draft Letter
HD
27/10/93

(General Serial No. 30.)

1465-8

1893 . R3617

From SN 99 (1892-3)

The Excise ~~Commissioner~~
in Mysore.

To DC/

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 3 June 1893

Despatched 10

Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 6343
No. 351

In reply to Govt: Proc: No 19156
dated 15th/17 May 1893. — 17-93
SUBJECT.

Submits further information as to the circumstances under which additional Toddy Inspectors were appointed for Maddagiri, Tiptur and Chickanaikanhally Talugs by the late Mr Syed Raisuddin Saib.

Recd 12-6-93.
Rev: branch

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Office note submitted.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—25-1-93.—200.

No.

Excise Commissioner's Office
Dated Bangalore 2 June 1893.

45

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

S.R.'s of 1891-2 Serial 11035 In reply to your Docket No: 19156 14-93 dated 15th/17th May 1893, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reports that a Toddy Inspector for the Koratagere Sub-taluk was appointed under his orders by the Deputy Amildar in the early part of August 1892 and after his departure on leave, the Inspector of the Huliyar and Turuvekere Sub-Talukas were appointed on the 9th & 20th August 1892 respectively, under the orders of the officiating Deputy Commissioner, the late Mr. Haji Syed Hasumudin Saib; and that the same officer appointed additional Inspectors for each of the talukas of Maddagiri, Tiptur and Chicknaikenhully on the 28th August 1892, 20th August 1892

*(Vide letter No. 1906 dated 31st May 1893, a copy whereof is herewith enclosed).

and 23d August 1892, respectively.

2. A copy of the intimation asked for in para 2 of your docket under reply, namely, this office Do: 570-7/10, dated 19/21st July 1892, is herewith sent.

3. I beg to forward herewith copy of docket Do: 1769, dated 8th May 1893, from the Deputy Commissioner, Lumkar district, from which it will be seen that the late Mr. Syed Nasrunddin is appointed third Inspectors for each of the above talukas on the strength of the remarks in the statement which accompanied the above docket Do: 570-7/10, dated 19/21st July 1892, to the effect that third Inspectors will be needed for those talukas. The statement in question is a copy of the Statement C, which was sent to you with this office Letter Do: 536/21, dated 15/19th July 1892. It will be seen that it is mentioned in the column of "Remarks" of the above statement that a third Inspector will be needed for certain talukas of the Lumkhan, Kolar, Kadur, Chitaldrog and Anjanur Districts. But that remark was made only for future

Consideration

Consideration, and the figures in the statement clearly show that no provision was made in it for such third Inspectors. The appointments of the three Inspectors in question, were thus — unauthorized, and were evidently made under a mistaken idea that they would be sanctioned by Government. Under such circumstances, I beg that the pay of the three Inspectors for the period they were employed, as per bill Submitted with this office Ltr: No: X 5625/353, dated 24/27th April 1893, may be kindly sanctioned, the charge being debited to the Savings in the Excise Budget.

Bills Ltr No 35.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
Sd/ Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Enclosure No 1

(4 S)

To.

The Deputy Commissioners
of Districts

No. 570-7/10 dated 19/21-7-92.

(Enclosures 3)

I am the honor to enclose Copy of proposals submitted by the Excise Commissioner to Government to provide for the Toddy Staff needed and to state that the strength and cost of the Staff Sanctioned by Government in Proceedings No. 1019-29 (1), dated 12th April, para No. 4 15, should not, on any account, be exceeded.

2. Pending orders of Government, the Excise Commissioner would strongly urge on Deputy Commissioners the advisability of Excise work of the Superior Service being divided into two branches "Office" and "Executive" and arrangements made as soon as possible to get the Treasury Officer to assume the former, and the General Police Sub Division

Officers

officers, as the case may be, to give
practical effect to the latter, while
the whole should be vigorously taken
in hand by the Deputy Commissioner,
and with the aid of those officers
and the subordinate staff already
sanctioned by Government the
Department brought into working
order as soon as possible.

3. The staff of Darogahs, Inspectors,
village officers, and Servants, should
be worked on the lines indicated
in the notes sent with this office
Circular No. 1336-43, dated 1st June 42.

4. Special attention is invited to
head XI of the Excise Commissioner's
letter to Government now enclosed;
and it is hoped Deputy Commissioners
will do their best to have the farmers
freely to act in all matters unchecked
with needless restraints and interference
from the subordinate Taluk or
Village agency. Excluding the 1st
and in giving effect to the 5 other
points alluded to in that letter,
the Excise Commissioner would
point out that Shopkeepers should
be treated with forbearance
and educated and instructed
in the rules and punished.

5. The Statement of remuneration to be paid to Village officers and Servants should be expedited.

6. The report of Inam cases should be expedited and it would be as well if this work be taken up, completed and rendered Talukwar. The salient points developed during the whole enquiry may then form the subject for a special and brief report for the whole district, and will enable Government to dispose of the questions raised in all their bearings with greater facility. If a voluminous map of papers are sent in all at once, it will not be possible to readily dispose of the whole within a reasonable time, hereafter.

/Signed/ L. Ricketts
Excise Commissioner.

Copy.

L. Ricketts
Excise Commissioner

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Sunkum District

Dis: Dc: 1906 Sunkum 31st May 1893.

Endorsed (45/c)

To,
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket Dc: 6089/117 of the 19th instant (received on the 26th idem) requesting to be informed under what circumstances 2 Toddy Inspectors were appointed for some of the Taluks in this District, I have the honor to state as follows: ——

(1)

2. In para 13 of order Dc: 1019-29 cir: 4 of the 12th April 1892 the Government authorized the Deputy Commissioners to appoint, in anticipation of sanction, the number of Toddy Inspectors absolutely required and submit a list of such appointments.

3. After consulting the Taluq officers, it seemed to me necessary to appoint an Inspector to each Taluk on 15 Rupees pay & 10 Rupees house allowance and an Inspector to each sub Taluk on Rupees 10 pay and Rupees 10 house allowance - I accordingly authorized the Taluk officers to appoint these Inspectors making their selection, as far as possible, from among those who

who had served under the late Contractors and acquired experience in the work. A report of this was also made to Government in my letter Dis: A of 23d July 1892, submitting therewith a list showing the names &c. of the men nominated upto that date.

4. There are 8 Talukhs and 3 Sub Talukhs in the District - So, eleven Inspectors had to be appointed - Upto the date of my departure on privilege leave in the early part of August 1892, all the 8 Talukh Inspectors and 1 out of 3 Sub-Talukh Inspectors had been nominated by the Amildars, the Deputy Amildars of Honhyar and Shimmebere not having chosen their Inspectors.

5. After my departure on leave the Inspectors of the Honhyar and Shimmebere Sub Talukhs were appointed on the 9th and 20th August 1892.

6. If the appointments had ceased here it would have been all right, but 2d Inspectors for the 5 Talukhs of Sirsi, Kumpal, Haddagiri, Chickmaikanhully and Siddapur were appointed by the acting Deputy Commissioner Mr Syed Nasseruddin Saheb on the strength of para 2 of your Docket Dis: 549 of the 18th July 1892, You having stated therein that 2d Inspectors for the said Talukhs were necessary. When

You

You proposed 2d Inspectors for some of the Talukhs in this District, I replied that they were not necessary. But in your said docket of the 18th July 1892, you stated that the necessity for additional Inspectors for the said 5 Talukhs had been shown in a report submitted by you to Government and that you would send a copy of it for information. You accordingly sent a copy of your report with your docket No: 570-7/10 of the 19th July 1892. In that you had proposed 2 Inspectors for those five Talukhs. I however entertained only one, but the additional appointments were, as stated above, made subsequently by Mr. Syed Hashmudin Saheb the appointments so made being as follows.

No:	Name	Taluk to which posted	Date of appointment	Remarks.
1.	Ramakao	Sira	20th August 1892.	Sent by the Revenue Commissioner for appointment as having lost his post owing to reduction in the Arra Department.
2	Saraimenger	Maddigiri	28th Do	——Do—— Do——
3	Gundappa	Khunigal	2d Do	He was under thumb of Toddy Contractors.
4	Mahomed Rudeen	Chickmaikenhully	23d Do	New appointment
5	Syd Moostafa	Tiptur	20th Do	New appointment

7. I understand from the correspondance that has since transpired that you meant to provide 2d Inspectors for only 2 Talukhs, viz, Sira and Khunigal and intended the 2d Inspectors of the remaining 3 Talukhs of Tiptur, Chickmaikenhully and Maddigiri for the Sub Talukhs attached thereto.

thereto. But as it had not been clearly stated so, Mr. Nasseeruddin Sahib appears to have understood you to mean that 2d Inspectors were to be appointed for all those 5 Talukes and acted accordingly. As soon, however, as Government under Secl No: 13672-81 / R. Dt. 17-92 of the 16th February 1893, sanctioning 2d Inspectors for only two Talukes (Sira and Tumkur) was received, the 2d Inspectors of Tiptur, Gubbi and Chickanaikenhully were dispensed with and a statement showing the amount (Rupees 471-7-4) paid to them was sent to you with this office Secret No: 1658 of the 20th April 1893.

I have &c.

(Sgd) B. R. Venkatramaniengar

Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

"Copy"

Shirlett

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

M.H.

No: 1769
mis

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To, The Excise Commissioner
in Bangalore.

Dated 8th May 1893.
9.

His Docket No: 5673/109, of the 27th ultimo.

Has the honor to state that with reference to para 2 of the above, the appointment of a 3d Inspector in the talukas of Chickanaikanhully, Tiptur and Maddagiri, appear to have been made by the late acting Deputy Commissioner on the strength of the suggestion made in the remark column of the statement annexed to the Excise Commissioner's Docket No: ~~5673/109~~ 5670-7/10, of the 19th July 1892, namely that a 3d Inspector will be needed in the Tiptur, Sira and Kunigal Talugs.

(Sgnd) K. Ramachendra Rau
Hon. Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"
Mukerji
Excise Commissioner
in Bangalore

Dt. Bijapur — (46)

R. 2

Read:— Correspondence ending with letter No 6343/351 dated 3rd June/93 from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Order thereon.

The above correspondence relates to the disbursement of pay* & allowances from August 1892 to March 1893 of the 3 Extra Toddy Inspectors entertained by the then offg Deputy Commissioner Mr. Syed Nasir-oodeen in the Maddagiri, Chikmagalur-halli and Tiptur Talukas in addition to the Inspectors who had been entertained for each of the Sub Talukas attached to these Talukas. The entertainment is said to have been made on

	Rs. as P.
Toddy Inspectors of Maddagiri from 29th August/92 to end of March 1893	175-6-9
—Do— of Chikmagalur-halli from 24th August/92 to 24th March/93	175-13-0
—Do— Tiptur from 25th August/92 to 4th March 1893	120-3-3
Total.	471-7-0

• No 10807-81 / R.T.17-92 dt 6-1-94 / 12

Jan 1.

on the strength of a remark
made by the Excise Comm^rs.
in one of the Statements submitted
by him to Government that
the 3^rd. Inspectors would be
required for these Labels.

2. The Excise Commissioners
explains that the remarks
alluded to was made for
future consideration and
that from the figures in the
Statement ~~Chargeplanned~~
it was clear that no provision
was made in it for such
3^rd. Inspectors.

3. The Excise Commissioners
also states that the 3^rd
Inspectors in question were
entertained under the
mistaken idea that the
appointments would be
sanctioned by Government.
His conclusion seems to

have been based upon
the Deputy Commissioners
letter No. K 1769 dated 8th
May 1893 in which that
Officer says that these
Inspectors appear to have been
entertained on the strength of the suggestion
made in the Excise Commrs.
Statement that a 3rd
Inspector will be needed
in the Sira, Kunigal &
Tiptur Talukes. Even in this
case, it is a question why
the Inspectors were appointed
for the Chikmanhalli &
Maddagiri Talukes instead
of for the Sira & Kunigal
Talukes as per statement.
4. But in para 6 of
his letter No. K 1906 dated
31st May 1893 the Deputy
Commissioner explains
that the 2nd Inspectors for
the Talukes noted on the

navajin were appointed
by Mr. Syed Nasir Dodeen
~~[illegible]~~ in accordance
with para 2 of Excise Comm's
Docket No 549/10 dated 18th
July/92 Copy of which has
not been submitted by the
Excise Commissioner.

5. Under all the circum-
stances of the case however
Government are pleased
to sanction the Bill for
Rs 471-7-- with the remark
that occasions of this kind
should be carefully avoided.
It is strange that the point
was not noticed in the
Comptroller's or Excise Comm's
Offices.

× Sirs.
Municipal
Muddaiyer
Chikmagalur
District.

Chief Secty.

H.D. 14/10/92

To The Excise Comm'r in Mysore
& - Comptr. with the Bill for credit

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894. 1/13509.

54

From The Comptroller R 26 [illegible]

S.R. 99 1892.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan's Inspn

81 3

Dated 3rd March

Despatched 6

Received

Enclosures No. File of correspondence

General No. 5058
No.

Forwards herewith file of correspondence in original between this office and the Dr Commissioner and the Excise Commr regarding the hours made to extra Toddy Inspectors

Do reply by Cover

Rec 6/3/94

No. 5058 with a file of correspondence

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 3 March 1894.

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
3 - MAR. 94
MYSORE

From

E. R. SUBBRAYAR, Esq.,
Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

SIR,

With reference to the remark contained in Government Proceedings No. 10807-8 / R 717-92 dated 6th January 1894, that the payment made from August 1892 to March 1893 to the three extra Toddy Inspectors entertained in the Tumkur District

Late Mr. Syed Nasiruddin
through some misapprehension
was not noticed by this
office, I have the honor
to forward herewith
the file of Correspondence
in original between × for perusal and kind return
this Office and the
and the Excise Commissioner
Deputy Commissioner, from
which it will be observed
that the question of
excess payment emanates
from this office and
that only on it instruction

the Sanction of Government was applied for by the Excise Commissioners for the amount paid in excess.

I have the honor to be Sir.

Your most obedient Servant.

M Cayley

Dy Comptroller